## Remarks

The above-referenced application has been reviewed in light of the Examiner's Office Action dated September 27, 2007. Claims 27, 28 and 69 are indicated as comprising allowable subject matter. The Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter is gratefully acknowledged. By the present amendment, previously withdrawn Claims 40-43 are canceled without prejudice, and Claims 1 and 13 are amended. Accordingly, Claims 1-39 and 44-69 are currently pending in this case.

In accordance with the Office Action, Claims 1-4, 8-9, 11, 13-15, 19, 21, 32, 44-45, 53, 57 and 59 stand provisionally rejected on grounds of non-statutory double patenting over comparable claims of co-pending Application No. 10/804,240. Claims 1 and 13 have been amended.

Amended Claim 1 has been amended without prejudice to incorporate subject matter of Claim 27, which was not provisionally rejected. Similarly, Amended Claim 13 has been amended without prejudice to incorporate subject matter of Claim 69, which was not provisionally rejected.

In accordance with the Office Action, Claims 2, 14 and 46 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The Specification has been amended.

Support for "intermittent" communication, such as between a sub-system in a patrol vehicle and a fixed station server, is inherent in the Application as originally filed. See also, Application at page 7, line 17 through page 8, line 4;

page 17, lines 9-16; page 22, lines 15-17. In addition, the subject matter of all originally filed claims is deemed to form a part of the original disclosure.

Therefore, the specification has been amended to more clearly recite or support the subject matter of Claims 2, 14 and 46. Since Claims 2, 14 and 46 are all original claims, no new matter has been added.

In accordance with the Office Action, Claims 1-4, 6-15, 9-21, 23-25, 29-35, 40-47, 51-60 and 65-67 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 7,007,166 to Moskowitz et al. Claims 1 and 13 have been amended without prejudice, as discussed above with respect to the provisional double-patenting rejections. No new matter has been added.

In accordance with the Office Action, Claims 5, 16-18, 22, 26, 36-39, 48-50, 61-64 and 68 stand rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over the '166 to Moskowitz et al. in view of U.S. Patent No. 7,142,691 to Levy. Claims 1 and 13 have been amended without prejudice, as discussed above with respect to the provisional double-patenting rejections. No new matter has been added.

In accordance with the Office Action, Claims 27, 28 and 69 drew objections for depending from rejected base claims, but were indicated as comprising allowable subject matter. The Examiner's indication of allowable subject matter is gratefully acknowledged. Claims 1 and 13 have been amended without prejudice to overcome the non-statutory provisional double-patenting

rejections, as well as to expedite allowance of this case. No new matter has been added.

Claim 1 has been amended to incorporate subject matter of Claim 69, as well as subject matter of intervening Claims 68, 66, 65 and a portion of Claim 53. Subject matter of Claims 67, another portion of Claim 53, Claims 45 and 44, is superfluous to patentability, and has not been incorporated into amended Claim 1.

Similarly, Claim 13 has been amended to incorporate subject matter of Claim 27, as well as subject matter of intervening Claims 26, 24, 23 and a portion of Claim 21. Subject matter of Claim 25, and another portion of Claim 21, is superfluous to patentability, and has not been incorporated into amended Claim 13.

## Conclusion

Therefore, it is respectfully submitted that amended independent Claims 1 and 13 are in condition for allowance for at least the reasons stated above. Since the remaining dependent Claims each depend from one of the above claims and necessarily include each of the elements and limitations thereof, it is respectfully submitted that these claims are also in condition for allowance for at least the reasons stated, as well as for reciting additional patentable subject matter. Thus, each of Claims 1-39 and 44-69 is in condition for allowance. All issues raised by the Examiner having been addressed, reconsideration of the rejections and an early and favorable allowance of this case are earnestly solicited.

Respectfully submitted,

hv.

Eric M. Parham Reg. No. 45,747

Attorney for Applicants

Correspondence Address:

F. CHAU & ASSOCIATES, LLC 130 Woodbury Road Woodbury, New York 11797 Telephone: (516) 692-8888

Facsimile: (516) 692-8889